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1. Introduction.

In this paper we consider the system

\[ f_1(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_N) = 0 \]
\[ f_2(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_N) = 0 \]
\[ \vdots \]
\[ f_N(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_N) = 0 \]

or in vector notation as

\[ F(x) = 0. \]

Here we assume that each \( f_i \) is real-valued and continuously differentiable and that the \( x_i \) are real; typically we may have \( N \) real, transcendental equations in \( N \) real unknowns. The problem of solving such a system of nonlinear equations falls conveniently into three subproblems, namely a) proceeding from perhaps poor initial estimates in some regular fashion into a region of local convergence; b) using a rapidly convergent, computationally efficient and stable algorithm local to the root; and c) obtaining further solutions – different from those previously found – of the system (see Brown and Gearhart
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[5]). We shall concentrate our efforts on b).

In this paper we analyze an algorithm proposed by Brown [1] for solving (1.1). The method is a Newton-like iteration based upon Gaussian elimination; it is derivative-free and has a built-in partial pivoting effect to help control rounding errors. Experimentally, the method has shown stability and rapid convergence in a vicinity of a solution; here we show how to guarantee second order convergence for the method by proper parameter selection. In §2 we describe the method algorithmically and establish the notation needed for the convergence analysis. The local, second order convergence of the method is proved in §3 under hypotheses no more restrictive than those needed for proving the convergence of Newton's method. In §4 we give computer results obtained by implementing a new FORTRAN program based on the method; comparisons are made with some of the better recent techniques as well as with the classical Newton's method.
2. Description of the Method.

Given a vector $x^n$ which is an approximation to the solution $x^*$ of (1.1), Newton's method is based on expanding the function vector $f$ about the point $x^n$, retaining only the linear terms in this expansion as an approximation to $f$, equating this linear system to zero (since, if $x^n$ is close to $x^*$, at points $x$ in a neighborhood of $x^n$: $f(x) \sim f(x^*) = 0)$, and taking the solution of the linear system to be the next iterate, $x^{n+1}$. The difficulty with this approach is that all equations are treated simultaneously; i.e., there is no attempt made to utilize information contained in the first few equations in later ones.

Brown [3] approached the problem by working with one equation at a time: expand the first function $f_1$ in a Taylor series expansion about $x^n$, truncate to linear terms and equate to zero; solve for that variable, say $x_j$, associated with the partial derivative of largest absolute value, say $\frac{\partial f_1(x^n)}{\partial x_j}$, as a function (necessarily a linear function) of the other $N-1$ variables. Now consider the second equation; in that equation replace the variable $x_j$ with the linear function just obtained -- this replaces the second equation...
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by an equation having just $N-1$ unknowns. Again expand $f_2$, truncate, set to zero and solve for one variable as a linear combination of the rest. Continue in this fashion eliminating one variable per equation until the $N$th equation which will then involve just one unknown. Do a single (one dimensional) Newton step on this $N$th equation and take the result to be one component of $x^{n+1}$; finally, back-solve the system of linear relationships built up to get the remaining $N-1$ components of $x^{n+1}$.

In addition to using the exact partial derivative expressions in the Taylor series expansions, Brown has shown how to approximate these partials by first difference quotients in such a way as to effect a savings of about one-half in the number of functions values needed per iteration and storage locations used relative to Newton's method. We shall show how to guarantee second order convergence for this derivative free method by a computationally simple choice of parameters.

The following notation will be used.

$$x = (x_1, \ldots, x_N)^T,$$

$$x^n = (x^n_1, \ldots, x^n_N)^T.$$
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where the superscript \( n \) denotes the \( n \)th iteration. Let \( \epsilon \) be real and let \( u_j \) denote the \( j \)th unit column vector. For \( x \in \mathbb{E}_N \), denote by \( T_j x \), the \( j \)-vector \( (x_1, \ldots, x_j)^T \) obtained by truncating the last \( N-j \) components of \( x \). Now if \( g \) is a real function of \( k \) variables, let \( \Delta g(T_k x, \epsilon) \) stand for the \( k \)-dimensional row vector whose \( j \)th component is defined by the equation

\[
\Delta g(T_k x, \epsilon) T_k u_j = g(T_k (x + \epsilon u_j)) - g(T_k (x)).
\]

If \( \epsilon = 0 \) then replace \( \Delta g(T_k x, \epsilon) \) by \( V g(T_k x) \), the gradient vector. Another useful convention is that when \( f \), \( g \) and \( h \) are real functions of \( k \), \( k+1 \) and \( k+2 \) variables respectively \( <T_k x, f, g, h>^T \) will denote the vector of length \( k+3 \)

\[
(T_k x, f(T_k x), g(T_k x, f(T_k x)), h(T_k x) f(T_k x), g(T_k x, f(T_k x)))^T.
\]

We will often use this notation with two, or more than three functions.

We now define the algorithm formally with \( (I) \) being the derivative free method and \( (II) \) denoting the form of the method which uses the exact derivative expressions.
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(1) In order to obtain \( x^{n+1} \) from \( x^n \) and \( \epsilon^n \neq 0 \), one proceeds as follows:

Define \( g_1 = f_1 \) and form \( \Delta g_1(x^n;\epsilon^n) \). Without loss of generality, assume that \( \| \Delta g_1(x^n;\epsilon^n) \|_\infty = |\Delta g_1(x^n;\epsilon^n)u_n| \) and define

\[
b_N(T_{N-1}x) = x_N^n - (\Delta g_1(x^n;\epsilon^n)u_n)^{-1}[T_{N-1}\Delta g_1(x^n;\epsilon^n)T_{N-1}(x-x^n) + \epsilon^n g_1(x^n)].
\]

In general, given the functions \( g_1, \ldots, g_k, b_N, b_{N-1}, \ldots, b_{N-k+1} \) define \( g_{k+1}(T_{N-k}x) = f_{k+1} < T_{N-k}x, b_{N-k+1}, \ldots, b_N > \), assume without loss of generality that

\[
\| \Delta g_{k+1}(T_{N-k}x^n;\epsilon^n) \|_\infty = |\Delta g_{k+1}(T_{N-k}x^n;\epsilon^n)T_{N-k}u_{N-k}| \quad \text{and set}
\]

\[
b_{N-k}(T_{N-k-1}x) = x_{N-k}^n - (\Delta g_{k+1}(T_{N-k}x^n;\epsilon^n)T_{N-k}u_{N-k})^{-1}
\]

\[
\cdot [T_{N-k-1}\Delta g_{k+1}(T_{N-k}x^n;\epsilon^n)T_{N-k-1}(x-x^n) + \epsilon^n g_{k+1}(T_{N-k}x^n)].
\]

Proceed by induction for \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, N-1 \) and notice that \( b_1 \) is a constant. Set

\[
x_1^{n+1} = b_1 = x_1^n - (g_N(T_1(x^n + \epsilon^n u_1) - g_N(T_1x^n))^{-1} \epsilon^n g_N(T_1(x^n)))
\]
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and \( x^{n+1} = <x_1^{n+1}, b_2, \ldots, b_N>^T \).

(II) In order to obtain \( x^{n+1} \) from \( x^n \) and \( \varepsilon^n = 0 \), one proceeds as follows:

Define \( g_1 \equiv f_1 \) and form \( v_{g_1}(x^n) \). Without loss of generality assume that \( \| v_{g_1}(x^n) \|_\infty = |v_{g_1}(x^n)u_N| \) and define

\[
b_N(T_{N-1}x) = x_N^n - (v_{g_1}(x^n)u_N)^{-1}[<v_{g_1}(x^n)T_{N-1}(x-x^n) + g_1(x^n)>].
\]

Proceed by analogy with (I) and the above and set

\[
x_1^{n+1} = b_1 = x_1^n - \left( \frac{dg_N}{dx_1}(T_1x^n) \right)^{-1} g_N(T_1x^n);
\]

\[
x^{n+1} = <x_1^{n+1}, b_2, \ldots, b_N>^T.
\]

We will show in the next section that (I) and (II) are consistent.

Remark 2.1. If \( F \) is a linear system, (I) and (II) reduce to transverse Gaussian elimination with partial (column) pivoting and, if the coefficient matrix is nonsingular, \( x^1 \) is the root regardless of the choice of \( x^0 \) and \( \varepsilon^0 \).

Remark 2.2. The reader will observe that whereas (II) requires
the same number of evaluations and storage locations as Newton's method. (I) requires only

\[ \sum_{k=2}^{N+1} k = \frac{N^2}{2} + \frac{3N}{2} \]

function evaluations per iterative step and \( \left( \frac{N^2}{2} + \frac{3N}{2} \right) \) storage locations.
3. Convergence Results.

In this section we will prove that the method is well defined and has the same local convergence properties as Newton's method. We will work with two basic sets of assumptions on $F$.

The weak hypothesis. Let $x^*$ be a zero of $F$, $R > 0$, and the Jacobian of $F$ be continuous in $S(x^*; R) \equiv \{ x \in \mathbb{E}_N : \| x - x^* \|_\infty < R \}$ and nonsingular at $x^*$.

The strong hypothesis. Let $K > 0$ and assume that, in addition to the weak hypothesis, $F$ satisfies the property that

$$\| J(x) - J(x^*) \|_\infty \leq K \| x - x^* \|_\infty , \text{ for } \| x - x^* \|_\infty \leq R.$$ 

The goal of this section is the following theorem.

THEOREM. If $F$ satisfies the weak hypothesis then there exist positive numbers $r, \varepsilon$ such that if $x^0 \in S(x^*; r)$ and $\{ \varepsilon_n \}$ is bounded in modulus by $\varepsilon$, Brown's method (I) for nonlinear systems applied to $F$ generates a sequence $\{ x^n \}$ which converges to $x^*$. Moreover, if $F$ satisfies the strong hypothesis and $\{ \varepsilon_n \}$ is $O( \{ |f_1(x^n)| \} )$, then the convergence is at least second order.
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Remark. The condition for quadratic convergence of Newton's method with difference quotient approximations in place of partial derivatives is \( \{ \varepsilon^n \} = O(\|F(x^n)\|_\infty) \) [9], [15]. Clearly the requirement in the theorem is more stringent and the \( \|F(x^n)\| \) requirement would suffice, as would any requirement which implies \( O(\|x^n - x^*\|) = \{ \varepsilon^n \} \). We use the \( |f(x^n)| \) requirement because it is computationally convenient in the implementation of the method.

Proof. The proof consists of three basic parts. First we show that under the weak hypothesis there exist \( R' > 0 \) and \( \varepsilon' > 0 \) such that if \( x^n \in S(x^*; R') \) and \( |\varepsilon^n| \leq \varepsilon' \), then one iteration of the method can be carried out and \( x^{n+1} \) exists. In the second part we prove that positive numbers \( R'' \leq R' \) and \( \varepsilon'' \leq \varepsilon' \) exist such that \( x^0 \in S(x^*; R'') \) and \( |\varepsilon^0| \leq \varepsilon'' \) imply that the iteration is a sequence of contractive mappings with uniformly bounded contractivity and hence converges. In the third part of the proof we show that under the strong hypothesis, the contractivity of each iteration function is bounded by a sequence uniformly proportional, in \( n \), to the current error and thus the convergence is quadratic.
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The first part of the proof, which is given immediately below, is very tedious and unenlightening. We recommend that the reader allow his intuition to convince him of the assertion and assume that the authors have insured its validity.

Part i. Clearly the $g$ and $b$ functions depend implicitly on the point $x^n$ and the value $\varepsilon^n$ as well as on the explicit variables indicated in section 2. Brown [3] has shown in detail that if $x^n, \varepsilon^n$ are taken as $x^*, 0$, then the fact that $J(x^*)$ is nonsingular guarantees that $\nabla g_1(x^*) \neq 0$ for $i = 1, \ldots, N$. This amounts to the well-known fact that Gaussian elimination with partial pivoting can be carried out on the nonsingular matrix $J(x^*)$.

Let us think of $g_1(x)$ as $g_1(x^n; \varepsilon^n)(x)$. Since $g_1$ is defined in terms of $f_1$ and $x$, it is entirely independent of the implicit variables $x^n$ and $\varepsilon^n$. Hence $g_1(x^n; \varepsilon^n)(x)$ is continuous in $(x^n; \varepsilon^n)$ and satisfies the same differentiability assumptions as $f_1$ in the variable $x$. Here, of course, $x^n$ and $x \in \bar{S}(x^*; R)$. Furthermore,

$$\nabla [g_1(x^n; \varepsilon^n)](x)u_i = \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial x_i}(x)$$

is independent of, and hence continuous in, $(x^n; \varepsilon^n)$ as well as $x$. 
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Since from [3] some \( \frac{\partial g_1(x^*)}{\partial x_j} \neq 0 \), by continuity there is an \( R_1 > 0 \) and an \( \varepsilon_1 > 0 \) such that if \( ||x^n - x^*||_\infty < R_1 \), \( ||x - x^*||_\infty < R_1 \) and \( |\varepsilon^n| < \varepsilon_1 \) then \( \frac{\partial [g_1(x^n; \varepsilon^n)]}{\partial x_j}(x) \neq 0 \). Let us now consider \( \Delta g_1(x^n; \varepsilon^n) \). By the mean value theorem, for each \( i \) between 1 and \( N \) and for some \( \xi_i \in (x^n, x^n + \varepsilon^n u_i) \), \( \Delta g_1(x^n; \varepsilon^n) u_i = \frac{\partial [g_1(x^n; \varepsilon^n)]}{\partial x_i}(\xi_i) \).

Hence it is not hard to see that \( \Delta g_1 \) is continuous in \( (x^n; \varepsilon^n) \). Furthermore, since \( ||\xi_j - x^*||_\infty \leq R_1 \), at least this component of \( \Delta g_1(x^n; \varepsilon^n) \) is not zero. It is consistent to assume \( j = N \). Thus, for \( ||x^n - x^*||_\infty < R_1 \) and \( |\varepsilon^n| < \varepsilon_1 \), \( b_N(x^n; \varepsilon^n)(T_{N-1}x) \) is defined, continuous in \( (x^n; \varepsilon^n) \) and affine in \( T_{N-1}x \). By inspection, \( b_N(x^*; 0)(T_{N-1}x^*) = x^* \), and so by continuity, given any \( \eta > 0 \), there exist numbers \( R'_1(\eta) \) and \( \varepsilon'_1(\eta) \) no larger than \( R_1 \) and \( \varepsilon_1 \) respectively such that if \( ||x^n - x^*||_\infty < R'_1(\eta) \), \( b_N(x^n; \varepsilon^n)(T_{N-1}x) \) is defined and \( |b_N(x^n; \varepsilon^n)(T_{N-1}x) - x^*_N| < \eta \).
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\( g(x_1^n; \varepsilon_1^n)(T_{N-1}x) \) is formally defined as \( \frac{f}{2}(T_{N-1}x, b) \), which makes it clear that \( g \) is continuous in \((x^n; \varepsilon^n)\) and \( T_{N-1}x \) as long as

\[ \|x^n - x^*\|_\infty < R'_1(R), \quad \|T_{N-1}(x - x^*)\|_\infty < R'_1(R) \text{ and } |\varepsilon^n| < \varepsilon'_1(R). \]

If we formally differentiate \( g_2(x^n; \varepsilon^n) \) with respect to the \( N-1 \) explicit variables we obtain an \( N-1 \) tuple whose \( i \)th coordinate is

\[
\frac{\partial g_2(x^n; \varepsilon^n)}{\partial x_i}(T_{N-1}x) = \frac{\partial f_2(T_{N-1}x, b)}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial f_2(T_{N-1}x, b)}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial b_N(T_{N-1}x)}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial g_1(x^n; \varepsilon^n)}{\partial u_1} \Delta g_1(x^n; \varepsilon^n)u_1.
\]

Thus, as long as \( \|x^n - x^*\|_\infty < R'_1(R), \quad \|T_{N-1}(x - x^*)\|_\infty < R'_1(R) \)

and \( |\varepsilon^n| < \varepsilon'_1(R) \), then \( g_2(x^n; \varepsilon^n)(T_{N-1}x) \) exists and is continuous in \((x^n; \varepsilon^n)\) and \( (T_{N-1}x) \). Now, as in the previous step we use the result from [3] that for some \( i < N-1 \),

\[ \frac{\partial g_2(x^*_i; 0)}{\partial x_i}(T_{N-1}x^*_i) \neq 0, \]

together with continuity to insure the existence of \( R > 0 \) and \( \epsilon > 0 \) such that \( R < R'_1(R) \) and \( \epsilon < \epsilon'_1(R) \) and, in fact, for

\[ \|x^n - x^*\|_\infty < R, \quad \|T_{N-1}(x - x^*)\|_\infty < R \quad \text{and} \quad |\varepsilon^n| < \varepsilon, \]

it
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follows that $\Delta g_{2}(x^{n};\varepsilon^{n}) \neq 0$. It is entirely consistent to assume that $i = N-1$. Thus, for such $(x^{n};\varepsilon^{n})$, $b_{N-1}(x^{n};\varepsilon^{n})$ is defined, continuous in $(x^{n};\varepsilon^{n})$ and affine in $T_{N-2}x$. Again, by inspection,

$$b_{N-1}(x^{*};0)(T_{N-2}x^{*}) = x^{*}_{N-1}$$

and so for any $\eta > 0$ there exist numbers $R'_{i}(\eta) < R_{i}$ and $\varepsilon'_{i}(\eta) < \varepsilon_{i}$ such that for

$$\|x^{n} - x^{*}\|_{\infty} < R'_{i}(\eta) \quad \text{and} \quad \|T_{N-2}(x - x^{*})\|_{\infty} \quad \text{and} \quad |\varepsilon^{n}| < \varepsilon'_{i}(\eta),$$

$$|b_{N-1}(x^{n};\varepsilon^{n})(T_{N-2}x) - x^{*}_{N-1}| < \eta.$$

Choose $R' = R'_{N}(R'_{N-1}(\ldots(R'_{1}(R))\ldots))$, $\varepsilon' = \varepsilon'_{N}(\varepsilon'_{N-1}(\ldots(\varepsilon'_{1}(\varepsilon))\ldots))$

and let $\|x^{n} - x^{*}\|_{\infty} < R'$, $|\varepsilon^{n}| < \varepsilon'$. Then, since the $R'_{i}$ and $\varepsilon'_{i}$ are chosen by the above process, all the $g$ and $b$ functions are defined in terms of the implicit variables $(x^{n};\varepsilon^{n})$. Furthermore,

$$|x^{n+1} - x^{*}| = |b_{1}(x^{*})| < R_{N-1}(R'_{N-2}(\ldots(R'_{1}(R))\ldots))$$

so

$$\|x^{n} - x^{*}\|_{\infty} < R'_{N-1}(\ldots(R'_{1}(R))\ldots) > \|T_{1}(x^{n+1} - x^{*})\|_{\infty} \quad \text{and}$$

$$|\varepsilon^{n}| < \varepsilon_{N} < \varepsilon'_{N-1}(\varepsilon'_{N-1}(\ldots(\varepsilon'_{1}(\varepsilon))\ldots)) \quad \text{imply that}$$

$$|x^{n+1} - x^{*}| = |b_{2}(T_{1}x^{n+1}) - x^{*}| < R'_{N-2}(\ldots(R'_{1}(R))\ldots).$$
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Hence $\|T_2(x^{n+1} - x^*)\|_\infty = \max \{|x_2^{n+1} - x_2^*|, |x_1^{n+1} - x_1^*|\} \leq R'_N - R'_N - 2(...(R'_N)\ldots)$,
since $R'_N - 1(... \leq R'_N - \leq R'_N - 2(...$, and so $x_3^{n+1}$ is defined, etc.

Clearly this leads to $x^{n+1}$ is defined and, in fact, $x^{n+1} \in S(x^*; n)$,
as long as $x^n \in S(x^*; n')$ and $|\epsilon^n| < \epsilon'$.

Part ii. Let $x^n \in S(x^*; n')$ and $|\epsilon^n| < \epsilon'$. Each $g_i$ is continuously differentiable and so there exist functions $\rho_1', \ldots, \rho_N'$ such that if $\|T_{N-1}^n(x - x^*)\|_\infty < n' > \|T_{N-1}^n(y - x^*)\|_\infty$, then

$$g_i(T_{N-1}^n x) - g_i(T_{N-1}^n y) - v_{g_i}(T_{N-1}^n y)T_{N-1}^n(x - y) = \rho_i(T_{N-1}^n x, T_{N-1}^n y)$$

and $\rho_i(T_{N-1}^n x, T_{N-1}^n y) / \|T_{N-1}^n(x - y)\|_\infty \to 0$ as $\|T_{N-1}^n(x - y)\|_\infty \to 0$.

Now $\rho_i$ depends implicitly on $(x^n; e^n)$, since $g_i$ does, as well as on the explicit variables $T_{N-1}^n x, T_{N-1}^n y$. Obviously $\rho_i$ is continuous in the explicit variables since the defining equation is, but we showed in Part i that the defining equation and hence $\rho_i$ is also continuous in $(x^n; e^n)$. First we note that
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\[ |b_N(T_{N-1}x) - x_n^*| = |x_n^* - x_n^N + (\Delta g_1(x_n^N; \varepsilon_n)u_N)^{-1} \]

\[ \cdot [T_{N-1}\Delta g_1(x_n^N; \varepsilon_n)T_{N-1}(x - x_n^N) + g_1(x_n^N)] \]

\[ \leq |x_n^* - x_n^N + (\Delta g_1(x_n^N; \varepsilon_n)u_N)^{-1} \]

\[ \cdot [T_{N-1}\Delta g_1(x_n^N; \varepsilon_n)T_{N-1}(x - x_n^N) + \nu g_1(x_n^N)(x_n^N - x_n^*))| \]

\[ + |\rho_1(x_n^*, x_n^N)| \cdot |\Delta g_1(x_n^N; \varepsilon_n)u_N|^{-1}. \]

Now, by the mean value theorem, \( \Delta g_1(x_n^N; \varepsilon_n)u_N = \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial x_N}(\xi) \) for some \( \xi \in (x_n^N, x_n^N + \varepsilon_nu_N) \). This is in the region where we assumed in Part 1 (without loss of generality) that this partial doesn't vanish and is hence bounded below by some number \( 1/b \) independent of \( (x_n^N; \varepsilon_n) \). Thus the second term on the right hand side is bounded by \( b|\rho_1(x_n^*, x_n^N)| \). In order to bound the first term on the right hand side, we add and subtract \( (\Delta g_1(x_n^N; \varepsilon_n)u_N)^{-1} \Delta g_1(x_n^N; \varepsilon_n)(x_n^N - x_n^*) \) inside the absolute value. Re-arranging terms we obtain
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\[ |x^*_N - x_N^n + (\Delta g_1(x^n; \varepsilon^n)u_N)^{-1}(\Delta g_1(x^n; \varepsilon^n)u_N)(x_N^n - x^*_N) \]

\[ + (\Delta g_1(x^n; \varepsilon^n)u_N)^{-1}(v g_1(x^n) - \Delta g_1(x^n; \varepsilon^n))(x^n - x^*) \]

\[ + (\Delta g_1(x^n; \varepsilon^n)u_N)^{-1}T_{N-1}\Delta g_1(x^n; \varepsilon^n)T_{N-1}(x^* - x^n + x^n - x^*)| \]

\[ \leq |\Delta g_1(x^n; \varepsilon^n)u_N|^{-1} \]

\[ \cdot (\varepsilon^n)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} |\rho_1(x^n + \varepsilon^n u_i^n, x^n)| \|x^n - x^*\|_\infty + \|T_{N-1}(x - x^*)\|_1.\]

We have used \( |\Delta g_1(x^n; \varepsilon^n)u_N| = \|\Delta g_1(x^n; \varepsilon^n)\|_\infty \) as well as the Hölder inequality for \( p = 1, q = \infty \). Now combine the first inequality with earlier results to obtain:

\[ (3.1) \quad |b_N(T_{N-1}x) - x^*_N| \leq \|T_{N-1}(x - x^*)\|_1 + b\|x^n - x^*\|_\infty \]

\[ \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{N} |\rho_1(x^n + \varepsilon^n u_i, x^n) / \varepsilon^n| + b|\rho_1(x^*, x)|.\]

Without loss of generality we can appeal to Part i to assume that

\[ b^{-1} \geq |\Delta g_2(x^n; \varepsilon^n)u_{N-1}| \quad \text{uniformly for } \|x^n - x^*\| < \varepsilon', \quad |\varepsilon^n| < \varepsilon'.\]
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We begin exactly as above.

\[ |b_{N-1}(T_{N-2}x) - x^*_N| = |x^*_N - x^n_N + (\Delta g_2(x^n, \varepsilon^n)u_{N-1})^{-1} \cdot [T_{N-2}\Delta g_2(x^n, \varepsilon^n)T_{N-2}(x - x^n) + g_2(T_{N-1}x^n)]| \]

Remember that \( g_2(T_{N-1}x^*) \neq 0 \) and so the situation is slightly more complicated than before when \( g_1(T_Nx^*) = f_1(x^*) = 0 \). We handle this as follows:

\[
g_2(T_{N-1}x^n) = g_2(T_{N-1}x^*_N) - g_2(T_{N-1}x^*_N) + f_2(T_{N-1}x^*_N, b_N) - f_2(x^*)
\]

\[
= v g_2(T_{N-1}x^*_N)T_{N-1}(x^n - x^*_N) + \rho_2(T_{N-1}x^*_N, T_{N-1}x^n) + \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_N}(\xi)(b_N(T_{N-1}x^*_N) - x^*_N)
\]

Of course \( \xi \in (T_{N-1}x^*_N, b_N, x^*) \) and so its existence depends on this interval being of length no more than \( R' \). From (3.1),

\[
|b_N(T_{N-1}x^*) - x_N^*| \leq b\|x^n - x^*_N\|_\infty \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left| \rho_1(x^n + \varepsilon^n u_i, x^n) / \varepsilon^n \right| + b\rho_1(x^*_N, x^n)
\]

which can be made arbitrarily small, and hence less than \( R' \) by taking
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$\|x^n - x^*\|_\infty$ small. Select $b'$ such that $b'$ is a uniform upper bound on all
the elements of $J(x)$ for $x \in \bar{S}(x^*; \delta')$. (We are really only concerned with
the transverse strict lower triangular part of $J(x)$.)

At this point, split the right hand side of the inequality after substituting for $g_2(T_{N-1}x^n)$ and adding and subtracting

$(\Delta g_2(x^n; \epsilon^n)u_{N-1})^{-1} \Delta g_2(x^n; \epsilon^n)T_{N-1}(x^n - x^*)$ and obtain as before,

$|b_{N-1}(T_{N-2}x) - x^*| \leq |x^* - x^n| + (\Delta g_2(x^n; \epsilon^n)u_{N-1})^{-1}(\Delta g_2(x^n; \epsilon^n)u_{N-1})(x_{N-1}^n - x_{N-1}^*)$

$+ (\Delta g_2(x^n; \epsilon^n)u_{N-1})^{-1} (\Delta g_2(x^n; \epsilon^n))T_{N-1}(x^n - x^*)$

$+ (\Delta g_2(x^n; \epsilon^n)u_{N-1})^{-1} T_{N-2} \Delta g_2(x^n; \epsilon^n)T_{N-2}(x^n - x^* + x - x^n)|$

$+ b|\rho_2(T_{N-1}x^*, T_{N-1}x^n)| + b'b|b_N(T_{N-1}x^*) - x^*|$

$\leq \|T_{N-2}(x - x^*)\|_1 + b\|T_{N-1}(x^n - x^*)\|_\infty \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} |\rho_2(T_{N-1}(x^n + \epsilon^n u_i), T_{N-1}x^n \epsilon^n)|$

$+ b|\rho_2(T_{N-1}x^*, T_{N-1}x^n)|$

$+ b^2 b'\{\|x^n - x^*\|_\infty \sum_{i=1}^{N} |\rho_1(x^n + \epsilon^n u_i, x^n) / \epsilon^n| + |\rho_1(x^*, x^n)|\}$. 
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(3.2) \[ |b_{N-1}(T_{N-2}x) - x^*_N| \leq \|T_{N-2}(x-x^*)\|_1 + \sum_{j=0}^{1} b^{2-j} (b^*)^{1+j} \|T_{N-j}(x-x^*)\|_\infty \]

\[ \times \sum_{i=1}^{N-j} \left| \rho_{j+1}(T_{N-j}(x^n + e^n u_1), T_{N-j}x^n)/e^n \right| + \left| \rho_{j+1}(T_{N-j}x^*, T_{N-j}x^n) \right| \}

There is no additional difficulty in establishing the general case,

(3.3) \[ |b_{N-p}(T_{N-p-1}x) - x^*_p| \leq \|T_{N-p-1}(x-x^*)\|_1 + \sum_{j=0}^{p} b^{p-j+1} (b^*)^{p-j} \|T_{N-j}(x-x^*)\|_\infty \]

\[ \times \sum_{i=1}^{N-j} \left| \rho_{j+1}(T_{N-j}(x^n + e^n u_1), T_{N-j}x^n)/e^n \right| + \left| \rho_{j+1}(T_{N-j}x^*, T_{N-j}x^n) \right| \]

for \( 0 \leq p \leq N-1 \).

We know enough about the \( \rho \) functions to allow us to conclude that for any \( \eta > 0 \), there are positive numbers \( R(\eta) \leq R' \) and \( \epsilon(\eta) \leq \epsilon' \) such that for

\[ |e^n| < \epsilon(\eta) \) and any \( j \geq 0 \), \( |\rho_{j+1}(T_{N-j}(x^n + e^n u_1), T_{N-j}x^n)/e^n| < \eta \); and for

\[ \|x^n - x^*\|_\infty < R(\eta) \) and any \( j \geq 0 \), \( |\rho_{j+1}(T_{N-j}x^*, T_{N-j}x^n) - \eta\|T_{N-j}(x^n-x^*)\|_\infty | < \eta \).
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Hence there is a constant $C$, independent of $x, x^n, \epsilon^n$ such that

for $\|x^n - x^*\|_\infty < R(\eta)$ and $|\epsilon^n| < \epsilon(\eta)$ we can simplify (3.3) to the following form.

(3.4) $b_{N-p} (T_{N-p-1}x) - x^*_{N-p} \leq \|T_{N-p-1}(x - x^*)\|_1 + CN(N+1)\eta \|x^n - x^*\|_\infty.$

Remember that $b_1$ is a constant function whose value is $x^{n+1}_1$ and

by (3.4), $p=N-1,$

$|x^*_1 - x^{n+1}_1| \leq CN(N+1)\eta \|x^n - x^*\|_\infty;$

and by (3.4) with $T_1x = T_1x^{n+1}_1,$

$|x^*_2 - x^{n+1}_2| \leq 2CN(N+1)\eta \|x^n - x^*\|_\infty.$

Clearly then,

$\|x^* - x^{n+1}\|_\infty \leq 2^{N-1}N(N+1)CN \|x^n - x^*\|_\infty.$

Choose $\eta < [2^{N-1}N(N+1)C]^{-1}$ and set $R'' \equiv R(\eta)$ and $\epsilon'' \equiv \epsilon(\eta)$ and the proof of Part ii is complete.
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Part iii. Let the strong hypothesis hold and let \( i \) be any index between 1 and \( N \).

\[
\|\nabla f_i(x) - \nabla f_i(x^*)\|_1 \leq \max_{1 \leq j < N} \|\nabla f_j(x) - \nabla f_j(x^*)\|_1 = \|J(x) - J(x^*)\|_\infty \leq K\|x - x^*\|_\infty.
\]

Notice also that \( |b_i(T_{i-1}x) - b_i(T_{i-1}y)| \leq (i-1)\|T_{i-1}(x - y)\|_\infty \) follows readily from the definition of \( b_i \) and the maximum component assumption on \( \Delta b_{N-i+1}(x^n; \varepsilon^n) \). Let \( 1 \leq j \leq i \) and by the chain rule

\[
\frac{\partial g_i}{\partial x_j}(T_{N,i+1}x) = \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(\langle T_{N,i+1}x, b_{N-i+2}, \ldots, b_N \rangle)
\]

\[+ \sum_{k=N-i+2}^{N} \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial b_k}(\langle T_{N,i+1}x, b_{N-i+2}, \ldots, b_N \rangle) \frac{\partial b_k}{\partial x_j}(\langle T_{N,i+1}x, \ldots, b_{k-1} \rangle).
\]

Now \( \frac{\partial b_k}{\partial x_j} \) is a constant so

\[
\|\nabla g_i(T_{N,i+1}x) - \nabla g_i(T_{N,i+1}x^*)\|_1 \leq \|T_{N,i+1}(\nabla f_i(x) - \nabla f_i(x^*))\|_1
\]

\[+ \sum_{k=N-i+2}^{N} \left| \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial b_k}(\langle T_{N,i+1}x, b_{N-i+2}, \ldots, b_N \rangle) \frac{\partial b_k}{\partial x_j} \right| \frac{\partial b_k}{\partial x_j} \left( \langle T_{N,i+1}x^*, b_{N-i+2}, \ldots, b_{k-1} \rangle \right).
\]
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Inside each term of the sum, add and subtract \( \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial b_k} (x^*) \frac{\partial b_k}{\partial x_j} \).

Rearrange the terms and use the fact that all the b-partial derivatives are less than or equal to one in absolute value. The following inequalities result:

\[
\| v_{g_1} (T_{N+1} x) - v_{g_1} (T_{N} x^*) \|_1 \\
\leq \| v_{f_1} (x) - v_{f_1} (x^*) \|_1 + \| v_{f_1} (T_{N+1} x, \ldots, b_N) - v_{f_1} (x^*) \|_1 \\
+ \| v_{f_1} (x^*) - v_{f_1} (T_{N+1} x^*, \ldots, b_N) \|_1 \\
\leq K \| x - x^* \|_\infty + K \| T_{N+1} x, \ldots, b_N - x^* \|_\infty \\
+ K \| x^* - T_{N+1} x^*, \ldots, b_N \|_\infty .
\]

We can use (3.4), \( 0 \leq p \leq i-2 \) to bound these last two terms.

\[
| x_{N+2}^* - b_{N+2} (T_{N+1} x) | \leq \| T_{N+1} (x - x^*) \|_1 + CN(N+1) \eta | x^n - x^* |_\infty .
\]

\[
| x_{N+3}^* - b_{N+3} (T_{N+1} x, b_{N+2}) | \leq \| T_{N+1} (x - x^*) \|_1 + | x_{N+2}^* - b_{N+2} (T_{N+1} x) | \\
+ CN(N+1) \eta | x^n - x^* |_\infty \\
\leq 2 \| T_{N+1} (x - x^*) \|_1 + 2 CN(N+1) \eta | x^n - x^* |_\infty .
\]
\[ |x_{N-i+j}^\ast - b_{N-i+j}^\ast \langle x_{N-i+1}, b_{N-i+2}, \ldots, b_{N-i+j-1} \rangle | \]
\[ \leq 2^{j-2} \|T_{N-i+1}(x-x^\ast)\|_1 + 2^{j-2} C N(N+1) \eta \| x^n - x^\ast \|_\infty. \]

Hence
\[ \|v_{g_i}(T_{N-i+1}x) - v_{g_i}(T_{N-i+1}x^\ast)\|_1 \leq 2K \| x-x^\ast \|_\infty + K 2^{i-1} \| T_{N-i+1}(x-x^\ast) \|_1 \]
\[ \quad + 2K 2^{i-1} C N(N+1) \eta \| x^n - x^\ast \|_\infty. \]

But since the $L_1$ and $L_\infty$ norms are equivalent, we can pick constants $Q$ and $Q'$ such that the following inequality holds for every $i=1, \ldots, N$ :

\[ \|v_{g_i}(T_{N-i+1}x) - v_{g_i}(T_{N-i+1}x^\ast)\|_1 \leq Q \| x - x^\ast \|_\infty + Q' \| x^n - x^\ast \|_\infty. \]

At this point we wish to reexamine $\rho_{j+1}(T_{N-j}(x^n + \epsilon u_\perp), T_{N-j}x^n / \epsilon^n)$

and $\rho_{j+1}(T_{N-j}x^n, T_{N-j}x^\ast)$ for $i \leq N-j$.

We can write
\[ \rho_{j+1}(T_{N-j}(x^n + \varepsilon u_j), T_{N-j}x^n) = \int_0^1 [\varphi_{j+1}(T_{N-j}(x^n + t\varepsilon u_j)) - \varphi_{j+1}(T_{N-j}x^n)] e^{n_T_{N-j}u_j} dt \]

\[ = \int_0^1 [\varphi_{j+1}(T_{N-j}(x^n + t\varepsilon u_j)) - \varphi_{j+1}(T_{N-j}x^*)] e^{n_T_{N-j}u_j} dt \]

\[ + [\varphi_{j+1}(T_{N-j}x^*) - \varphi_{j+1}(T_{N-j}x^n)] e^{n_T_{N-j}u_j} \cdot \]

Hence,

\[ |\rho_{j+1}(T_{N-j}(x^n + \varepsilon u_j), T_{N-j}x^n)| \]

\[ \leq |\varepsilon^n| \cdot Q \cdot |Q|x_n^* - x^n|_{\infty} + |\varepsilon^n| + |\varepsilon^n|Q'\|x_n^* - x^n\|_{\infty} + |\varepsilon^n|Q'\|x_n - x^*\|_{\infty} \]

and so there is a constant \( Q'' \) such that

\[ |\rho_{j+1}(T_{N-j}(x^n + \varepsilon u_j), T_{N-j}x^n) / \varepsilon^n| \leq Q''\|x_n^* - x^n\|_{\infty} + Q|\varepsilon^n| \cdot \]

If we choose \( |\varepsilon^n| = o(|f_1(x^n)|) = o(|f_1(x^n) - f_1(x^*)|) = o(\|x_n^* - x^n\|_{\infty}) \),

then we may as well assume \( Q'' \) was chosen such that

\[ |\rho_{j+1}(T_{N-j}(x^n + \varepsilon u_j), T_{N-j}x^n) / \varepsilon^n| \leq Q''\|x_n^* - x^n\|_{\infty} \cdot \]

Now write

\[ \rho_{j+1}(T_{N-j}x^n, T_{N-j}x^*) \]

\[ = \int_0^1 [\varphi_{j+1}(T_{N-j}(x^n + t(x^* - x^n)) - \varphi_{j+1}(T_{N-j}x^*)] \cdot T_{N-j}(x^* - x^n) dt ; \]
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thus

\[ |p_{j+1}(T_{N-j}x^n, T_{N-j}x^*)| \leq (Q + Q'n)\|x^n - x^*\|_\infty^2. \]

This allows us to redevelop (3.4) as follows:

\[ (3.5) \quad |x^*_N - b_{N-p}(T_{N-p-1}x)| \leq \|T_{N-p-1}(x - x^*)\|_1 + C'\|x^n - x^*\|_\infty^2. \]

From whence we obtain

\[ |x^*_1 - x^{n+1}_1| \leq C'\|x^n - x^*\|_\infty^2, \]

\[ |x^*_2 - x^{n+1}_2| \leq 2C'\|x^n - x^*\|_\infty^2, \]

\[ \cdots \]

\[ \vdots \]

\[ \text{etc., until} \]

\[ \|x^* - x^{n+1}\|_\infty \leq 2^{N-1}C'\|x^* - x^n\|_\infty^2 \]

and so the method is at least second order, since \(2^{N-1}C'\) is independent of \(n\).

This completes the proof.
4. Numerical Results.

Example 4.1. The following are Powell's equations [13] derived from Rosenbrock's function [14].

\[ f_1(x_1, x_2) = 10(x_2 - x_1^2) = 0 \]
\[ f_2(x_1, x_2) = 1 - x_1 = 0 \]

The 'standard' starting guess (-1.2, 1.0) was used and the results are given in Table 4.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Final $|F|$</th>
<th>Number of (Equivalent) Evaluations of the Function Vector $F$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Newton</td>
<td>$7.5 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broyden (I) [6]</td>
<td>$4.8 \times 10^{-10}$</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broyden (II) [6]</td>
<td>$2.5 \times 10^{-10}$</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown (I)</td>
<td>zero</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown (II)</td>
<td>zero</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Remark 4.1. Rosenbrock's function [14]

\[(4.1) \quad \phi(x_1, x_2) = 100(x_2 - x_1^2)^2 + (1 - x_1)^2 \]

consists of a steep-sided parabolic valley whose single minimum
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occurs at \( x_1 = x_2 = 1 \). C.G. Broyden (in correspondence) proposed
that a starting guess of \((-0.8, 1.0)\) on the other side of the
valley might provide a good challenge for method (I). We are
happy to report a final norm of \(6.5 \times 10^{-7}\) in only 5 (equivalent)
function vector evaluations for the proposed starting guess.

When we solved the system in the reverse order

\[
\begin{align*}
f_2 &= 0 \\
f_1 &= 0
\end{align*}
\]

we obtained convergence in just one iteration from the standard
starting guess \((-1.2, 1.0)\). This example supports a good general
strategy to follow when using Brown's methods (I) and (II)
namely, always preorder the system of equations so that the
linear (or most nearly linear) equations come first and then the
remaining equations become progressively more nonlinear -- as
measured, say, by their degree.

Broyden has pointed out in [7] that his new methods, also
[7], will produce the exact solution \((1.0, 1.0)\) from the stan-
dard starting guess in just three iterations.
Example 4.2. Rosenbrock's function (4.1) has been used as a standard ("tough") example to test many function minimization algorithms. One way of minimizing a function, \( \phi \), of \( N \) variables is to locate the zeros of the associated gradient system, for, as is well known, any local minima of \( \phi \) must occur among the zeros of \( \nabla \phi \). We take this approach with Rosenbrock's function (4.1); hence, we seek the zeros of

\[
\begin{align*}
    f_1(x_1, x_2) &\equiv 2(x_1 - 1) - 400x_1(x_2 - x_1^2) = 0 \\
    f_2(x_1, x_2) &\equiv 200(x_2 - x_1^2) = 0 .
\end{align*}
\]

Again we take \( x^0 = (-1.2, 1.0) \). The results are given in Table 4.2.
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The problem was run for $N = 5, 10, 15$ and $20$ with the starting vector for all cases being a vector having $0.5$ in each component.

Both Brown (I) and Brown (II) converged in each case to the root, a vector all of whose components are $1.0$. For $N = 5$ Newton's method converged to the root given approximately by

$(-.579, -.579, -.579, -.579, 8.90)$. We note that the failure of Newton's method on this problem is root attributable to singularities of the Jacobian matrix, since the Jacobian matrix is nonsingular at the starting guess and at the two roots. The results are given in Table 4.3. In the table "diverged" means that $\| x^n \|_\infty \to \infty$ whereas "converged" means that each component of $x^{n+1}$ agreed with the corresponding component of $x^n$ to 15 significant digits and $\| f(x^{n+1}) \|_2 < 10^{-15}$; moreover, conv. $\equiv$ converged, div. $\equiv$ diverged, and its. $\equiv$ iterations.
### Table 4.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Final Value of $\phi$</th>
<th>Number of (Equivalent) Evaluations of the Function Vector $F$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Powell [13]</td>
<td>$&lt; 1.0 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stewart [18]</td>
<td>$1.7 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broyden [7]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) &quot;O.H.D.&quot;</td>
<td>failed</td>
<td>$&gt; 500$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) &quot;O.H.U.&quot;</td>
<td>failed</td>
<td>$&gt; 500$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) New $\lambda = .05$ (version 1)</td>
<td>failed</td>
<td>$&gt; 500$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) New $\lambda = .1$ (version 1)</td>
<td>failed</td>
<td>$&gt; 500$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) New $\lambda = .2$ (version 1)</td>
<td>$&lt; 1.0 \times 10^{-12}$</td>
<td>158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) New $\lambda = .05$ (version 2)</td>
<td>$&lt; 1.0 \times 10^{-12}$</td>
<td>480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g) New $\lambda = .1$ (version 2)</td>
<td>$&lt; 1.0 \times 10^{-12}$</td>
<td>184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h) New $\lambda = .2$ (version 2)</td>
<td>$&lt; 1.0 \times 10^{-12}$</td>
<td>188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown (I)</td>
<td>$&lt; 1.3 \times 10^{-11}$</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Example 4.3.** In order to illustrate how Brown's methods (I) and (II) capitalize on the preordering strategy given in Remark 4.1, we consider the following example from [3]

\[
f_i(x) \equiv - (N + 1) + 2x_i + \sum_{j=1}^{N} x_j, \quad i = 1, \ldots, N-1
\]

\[
f_N(x) \equiv -1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\xi} x_j.
\]
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Table 4.3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N</th>
<th>Newton's Method</th>
<th>Brown (I)</th>
<th>Brown (II)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>conv. (18 its.)</td>
<td>conv. (7 its.)</td>
<td>conv. (6 its.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>div., $| x^1 | \sim 10^3$</td>
<td>conv. (8 its.)</td>
<td>conv. (7 its.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>div., $| x^1 | \sim 10^5$</td>
<td>conv. (8 its.)</td>
<td>conv. (8 its.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>div., $| x^1 | \sim 10^6$</td>
<td>conv. (8 its.)</td>
<td>conv. (8 its.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Example 4.4. This system is due to Freudenstein and Roth [11]:

\[
\begin{align*}
f_1(x_1, x_2) &= -13 + x_1 + ((-x_2 + 5)x_2 - 2)x_2 = 0 \\
f_2(x_1, x_2) &= -29 + x_1 + ((x_2 + 1)x_2 - 14)x_2 = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

The starting guess used was $x^0 = (15, -2)$. The solution is at $(5, 4)$. The results are given in Table 4.4.

Table 4.4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Newton</td>
<td>converged in 42 iterations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broyden's I [6, p. 591]</td>
<td>diverged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broyden's II [6, p. 591]</td>
<td>diverged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broyden [7]</td>
<td>diverged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Damped Newton (discrete form) [17]</td>
<td>diverged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown (I) and (II)</td>
<td>converged in 10 iterations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Example 4.5. This example is a macroeconomic model due to Christensen [8]. It entails a system of 19 simultaneous equations, ten of which are linear. Our colleague, R.H. Bass of the Office of Emergency Preparedness, Washington, D.C., has used our program to solve these equations. With "good" starting guesses he obtained convergence for all 39 time periods using an average of only 3.5 iterations per time period. With poorer starting guesses he obtained convergence for 22 of the 39 time periods with an average of 5.4 iterations per time period (when convergence was obtained). Dr. Bass had originally solved these equations by minimizing \[ \sum_{i=1}^{19} f_i^2 \], using the method of Fletcher and Powell [10]. Approximately 500 iterations were needed to reduce the sum of squares to \[ 5 \times 10^{-3} \].

Remark 4.2. As the Rosenbrock example (contrast example 4.1 with 4.2) and Bass' experience confirm experimentally, it is ridiculous to complicate the problem of solving simultaneous nonlinear equations unnecessarily; specifically, do not solve a nonlinear system by attempting to minimize \[ \sum f_i^2 \]!
Example 4.6. Scarf [16] has given an elegant method for finding the fixed points of a mapping which takes the unit simplex into itself; i.e. he has given a constructive proof of Brouwer's fixed point theorem. Scarf's technique turns out to be remarkably easy to implement on a digital computer. The technique applies directly to a nonlinear model of a pure trade economy. Scarf's algorithm is an example of a good technique for attacking the first subproblem of solving nonlinear equations: getting into a region of local convergence from perhaps poor initial estimates (see §1). We coupled Brown (I) with Scarf's algorithm and tested it on a ten dimensional pure trade model with the following results: the time needed to solve the problem was reduced from 4.6 minutes (when using "pure" Scarf) to just 16 seconds when employing the hybrid technique of using Scarf's algorithm to get an initial guess and then switching over to Brown (I).
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