Abstract: It seems like a simple question: what makes one algorithm “better” than another? In this demo class, a few weeks into a CS fundamentals course, we’ll work together to design two distinct algorithms to solve a seemingly basic task: search for a particular name in a list. But how do we compare multiple algorithms that solve the same problem? We’ll discuss various methods of comparison, do a group activity, and learn the fundamentals of an important tool in the CS toolbox—Big O notation.

Many students in CS fundamentals coursework struggle to both develop practical programming skills and internalize deeper CS thinking practices like analyzing algorithms. And while Big O notation exists as an ideal tool for this sort of work, it is often reserved as a formal mathematical tool introduced later on in the CS core curriculum. In this demo class, we choose to introduce students to the fundamentals of Big O notation as a thinking tool when comparing two algorithms. We don’t expect learners to become experts in the mathematical underpinnings of Big O in a single class period. Instead, we aim to prepare them for future use of the tool in formal algorithmic analysis by focusing on building computational intuition on how an algorithm’s run time might grow as its input grows larger and larger.
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